	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/082,954	WILLIAMS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Peter Szekely	1714
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Peter_Szekely</u> .	(3)	
(2) Patrea Pabst.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 24 June 2004	Time: <u>10.00 a.m.</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Claim 31. Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The priority statement will be brought up to date and claim 31 will beamended to eliminate theless than two year degradation period.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
h	<u> </u>	15
(Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)